

2022 GA-507 PROJECT PERFORMANCE & COC PRIORITY SCORING CRITERIA  
Supportive Services Only

Applicant Agency: \_\_\_\_\_

HUD Project Name: \_\_\_\_\_

Permanent Supportive Housing       Transitional Housing       Supportive Services Only

Project Threshold Criteria	Scoring
<p><b>Agency demonstrates they have the capacity to carry out and implement the proposed project.</b> Eligible <u>renewing</u> projects are considered to have met threshold unless other information is available to the contrary.</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>• Active CoC Participant</li> <li>• Project is financially feasible</li> <li>• Documented, secured minimum match</li> <li>• Project has reasonable costs</li> </ul>	Pass/Fail

Objective Criteria	Goal	Points Available	Scoring	Data Source	Reviewer Score
1. <u>All Projects</u> – did the agency expend (100%) all grant funds awarded for last grant period?	100% of award	10	95% or greater spent = 10 90-94% spent = 9 85-89% spent = 8 80-84% spent = 7 Below 79% = 0	LOCCS screen	
2. <u>All Projects</u> – has the recipient maintained consistent Quarterly Drawdowns for the most recent Grant Term related to this renewal project request?	YES	10	YES = 10 NO = 0	LOCCS Drawdown Screen	
3. <u>All Projects</u> – HMIS data quality at or above 95% (Universal Data Elements)	95%	10	0-5% error rate = 10 6-10% error rate = 5 10% or more = 0	Project APR	

<b>Objective Criteria: System Performance</b>	<b>Goal</b>	<b>Points Available</b>	<b>Scoring</b>	<b>Data Source</b>	<b>Reviewer Score</b>
4. Program Defined Performance Measure – % of persons who accomplished measure of meeting or exceeding the number proposed	80%	20	80 or greater = 20 51-79% = 10 Below 51% = 0	Project APR Proj App	

<b>Objective Criteria: Other Performance</b>	<b>Goal</b>	<b>Points Available</b>	<b>Scoring</b>	<b>Data Source</b>	<b>Reviewer Score</b>
5. Program Targets / Priorities – the project incorporates working with special populations (veterans, chronically homeless persons, youth ages 24 and below and/or victims of domestic violence)	50% or greater	20	50% or greater = 20 20-49% = 10 Below 20% = 0	Project APR	
6. Project Participants – the number of project participants served equals or exceeds the number proposed in the previous year's project application	100%	20	100% or higher = 20 60-99% = 10 Below 60% = 0  Yes=20 No=0	Project App Project APR	

<b>Other Criteria: Project Effectiveness</b> (CoC assessment of fidelity to Housing First from CoC monitoring or review of project policies and procedures)	<b>Goal</b>	<b>Points Available</b>	<b>Scoring</b>	<b>Data Source</b>	<b>Reviewer Score</b>
7. Housing First and/or Low Barrier implementation	YES	10	Yes = 10 No = 0	Project App Question 3D	
8. Coordinated Entry participation	YES	10	Yes = 10 No = 0	Project App / Local Knowledge	

Other and Local Criteria CoC Monitoring Score	Goal	Points Available	Scoring	Data Source	Reviewer Score
9. Submitted Project Application to Collaborative Applicant by deadline	YES	10	Yes = 10 No = 0	Project App / Local Knowledge	
10. Application is complete and data is consistent	YES	10	Yes = 10 No = 0	Project App	
11. Provided financial info as requested a. eLOCCS b. Voucher screen	YES YES	10	Yes to Both = 10 No to Either/Both = 0	LOCCS Screens	
<b>Total Possible Maximum Score:</b>					<b>140</b>
<b>Total Project Score:</b>					